

### **ELEMENT SUMMARY INFORMATION**

**Element:** 1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)

**Purpose of this Element** (Air Carrier's responsibility): The Air Carrier provides policies/procedures for their participation in the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) in accordance with Maintenance Operations Specification D090.

**Objective** (FAA responsibility): To determine if the air carrier's Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process includes safety attributes.

### **Inputs:**

- C.A.S.E. Program
- Vendors
- Outsource Organizations
- Suppliers

### **Outputs:**

• Completed inspection of vendors and outsource organizations

### **Performance Measures:**

- The Air Carrier is authorized and utilizes the provisions of Operations Specifications Paragraph D090 and the C.A.S.E. Policy and Procedures Manual.
- The Air Carrier's Manual contains procedures for administering and participating in the C.A.S.E. Program.

### SRR:

There are no Specific Regulatory Requirements. The Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) Program is a means of qualifying a vendor for compliance with 14 CFR 121.373.

### Other CFRs and/or FAA Guidance:

- 14 CFR 121.363(a)(b) Responsibility for Airworthiness
- 14 CFR 121.367(a)(b)(c) Maintenance, preventive maintenance, and alterations programs
- 14 CFR 121.373(a)(b) Continuous Analysis and Surveillance
- FAA Order 8300.10, Vol. 3, Chap 45 "Surveillance of 121/135 Operators Participating in Coordinating Agencies for Supplier's Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)"
- FAA Order 8300.10, Vol. 2, Chap 84, "CFR Part 121 Operations Specifications"
- FAA Order 8300.10, Vol. 2, Chap 95, "Evaluate FAR Part 121 Operator/Applicants for participation in Coordinating Agencies for Supplier's Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)"
- Operations Specifications Paragraph D090
- Refer to Advisory Circulars using a search engine (such as ATP Navigator or Summit)

### **SRR SPECIFIC INFORMATION**

There are no Specific Regulatory Requirements. The Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) Program is an alternative means for compliance with FAR 121.373.

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 1 - RESPONSIBILITY ATTRIBUTE	
<b>Objective:</b> To determine if there is a clearly identifiable, qualified, and knowledgeable person who is accountable for the quality of the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	
To meet this objective, the inspector will accomplish the following tasks:	
1. Identify the person who is responsible for the quality of the Coordinatin Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	ng Agencies for Suppliers
2. Review the description in the Manual that delineates the duties and responsibilities of the person.	
3. Evaluate the person's qualifications and work experience (or resume', if appropriate).	
4. Review the appropriate organizational chart.	
5. Discuss the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	process with the person.
To meet this objective, the inspector will answer the following questions:	
1. Is there a clearly identifiable person who is answerable for the quality of the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	YES If yes, provide the name:
process?	No If no, explain:
2. Does the person understand the procedures associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
3. Does the person understand the controls associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
4. Does the person understand the interfaces associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
5. Does the person understand the process measurements associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	YES If no, explain:
6. Is the responsibility of this position clearly documented in the air carrier's Manual(s)?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
7. Are the qualification standards for this position clearly documented?	YES If no, explain:
7a Are the qualification standards for this position appropriate for the duties that are assigned?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
8. Does the person meet the qualification standards?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
9. Does the person acknowledge that he/she has responsibility for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	YES If no, explain: No
10. Does the person know who has authority to establish and modify the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	YES If no, explain:

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 2 – AUTHORITY ATTRIBUTE	
<b>Objective:</b> To determine if there is a clearly identifiable, qualified, and knowledgeable person with the authority to establish and modify the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	
To meet this objective, the inspector will accomplish the following tasks:	
1. Identify the person who has the authority to establish or modify the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	
2. Review the description in the Manual that delineates the duties and resp	oonsibilities of the person.
3. Evaluate the person's qualifications and work experience (or resume', i	f appropriate).
4. Review the appropriate organizational chart.	
5. Discuss the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	process with the person.
To meet this objective, the inspector will answer the following questions:	
1. Is there a clearly identifiable person who has authority to establish and modify the air carrier's policies for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	YES If yes, provide the name:  No If no, explain:
2. Does the person understand the procedures associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
3. Does the person understand the controls associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
4. Does the person understand the interfaces associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
5. Does the person understand the process measurements associated with the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
6. Is the authority of this position clearly documented in the air carrier's Manual(s)?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
7. Are the qualification standards for this position clearly documented?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
7a Are the qualification standards for this position appropriate for the duties that are assigned?	YES If no, explain:
8. Does the person meet the qualification standards?	YES If no, explain:
9. Does the person acknowledge that he/she has authority for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	YES If no, explain:
10. Does the individual know who has the responsibility for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	YES If no, explain:
11. Are the procedures for delegation of authority clearly documented for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 3 – PROCEDURES ATTRIBUTE	
<b>Objective:</b> To determine if the air carrier has documented procedures fo Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	r accomplishing the
To meet this objective, the inspector will accomplish the following tasks:	
1. Review the documented instructions and information related to the C Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process to ensure that they contain v how.	who, what, where, when, and
2. Review the FAA Guidance and Specific Regulatory Requirements (S supplemental information section of this SAI.	
3. Discuss the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.: personnel to gain an understanding of the procedures.	
4. Observe the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S. understanding of the procedures.	.E.) process to gain an
To meet this objective, the inspector will answer the following questions.	:
1. Do written procedures exist to achieve the desired result of the Coord Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process:	dinating Agencies for Suppliers
1.1 Do written procedures provide detailed instructions and information for the C.A.S.E. Program?	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.2 Do written procedures require the Air Carrier to inspect vendors/suppliers/outsource organizations that have not been audited by C.A.S.E. before using them?	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
2. Do the procedures identify: who, what, where, when and how?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
3. Are the procedures in compliance with the CFR(s)?	YES If no, explain:
4. Do the procedures conform to other written guidance (E.g., Operations Specifications, FAA Orders, Airworthiness Directives, Advisory Circulars, Handbook Bulletins, Directives, and Manufacturer's Recommendations)?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
5. Does the air carrier have the resources to support the written procedures for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
6. If alternate procedures exist for use during irregular conditions, do they achieve the same desired results as the primary procedures so that an equivalent level of safety is maintained? (E.g., a manual system used as a result of equipment failure).	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A, No alternate procedures exist for this element
7. Are the procedures published in different manuals relating to the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process consistent?	YES If no, explain: No

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)		
SECTION 3 – PROCEDURES ATTRIBUTE		
8. Does the air carrier have a documented method for assessing the impacts of procedural changes to the Coordinating Agencies for	YES If no, explain:	
Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	<b>4</b> 10	

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 4 – CONTROL ATTRIBUTE	
<b>Objective:</b> To determine if checks and restraints are designed into the C Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process to ensure a desired result is achieved.	
To meet this objective, the inspector will accomplish the following tasks:	
1. Review the documented instructions and information related to the C Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	
2. Review the FAA Guidance and Specific Regulatory Requirements (S supplemental information section of this SAI	SRR) included in the
3. Discuss the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S. personnel to gain an understanding of the controls.	E.) process with appropriate
4. Observe the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S. understanding of the controls.	.E.) process to gain an
To meet this objective, the inspector will answer the following questions	·
1. Are the following checks and restraints built into the Coordinating A Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process:	gencies for Suppliers
1.1 Do the Air Carrier's procedures meet or exceed the provisions of the Operations Specifications Paragraph D090?	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.2 Does the Air Carrier have and maintain a list that differentiates between C.A.S.E. and non-C.A.S.E. vendors/suppliers/outsource organizations?	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
2. Do the checks and restraints ensure the desired result is achieved for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
3. Does the air carrier have a documented method for assessing the impacts of any changes made to checks and restraints in the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
4. Does the air carrier have the resources to support the checks and restraints for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 5 - PROCESS MEASUREMENT ATTRIBUTE	
<b>Objective:</b> To determine if the air carrier measures and assesses its Coo Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process, to identify and correct problem	
To meet this objective, the inspector will accomplish the following tasks.	
1. Review the documented instructions and information related to the C Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	Coordinating Agencies for
2. Discuss the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S. personnel to gain an understanding of the process measures.	E.) process with appropriate
3. Observe the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S understanding of the process measures.	E.) process to gain an
To meet this objective, the inspector will answer the following questions	:
1. <deleted></deleted>	
2. Does the air carrier's Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluatio following process measurements?	n (C.A.S.E.) process include the
2.1 The Air Carrier audits the C.A.S.E. Program to ensure that it complies with the Operations Specifications Paragraph D090.	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
2.2 The Air Carrier audits the C.A.S.E. Program to ensure that it complies with the C.A.S.E. Policy and Procedures Manual.	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
2.3 The Air Carrier audits the records of inspection of non- C.A.S.E. vendors/ suppliers/outsource organizations to ensure that those inspections have been completed and approved prior to use.	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
3. Does the air carrier document their process measurement methods and results?	YES If no, explain:
4. Are the air carrier's process measurement methods effective?	YES If no, explain: No
5. Does the air carrier use their process measurement results to improve their programs?	YES If no, explain: No
6. Are the process measurement results accessible to the FAA?	YES If no, explain: No
7. Does the organization that conducts the process measurement have direct access to the person with responsibility for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO
8. Does the air carrier have the resources to support the process measurement for the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process?	☐ YES If no, explain: ☐ NO

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 6 – INTERFACES ATTRIBUTE	
<b>Objective:</b> To determine if the air carrier identifies and manages the intercoordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process and twithin the air carrier organization.	
To meet this objective, the inspector will accomplish the following tasks:	:
1. Review the documented instructions and information related to the C Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process.	-
2. Discuss the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.I personnel to gain an understanding of the interfaces.	
3. Observe the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S. understanding of the interfaces.	.E.) process to gain an
To meet this objective, the inspector will answer the following questions:	:
1. Are the following interfaces identified for the Coordinating Agencies (C.A.S.E.) process:	s for Suppliers Evaluation
1.1 Aircraft Airworthiness Requirements (Element 1.1.1)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.2 Maintenance Log/Recording Requirements (Element 1.2.3)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.3 Inspection Program (Element 1.3.2)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.4 Outsource Organization (Element 1.3.7)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.5 Control of Calibrated Tools and Test Equipment (Element 1.3.8)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.6 Parts/Material Control/SUP (Element 1.3.10)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.7 Continuous Analysis and Surveillance (CAS) (Element 1.3.11)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A
1.8 GMM/Equivalent (Element 1.3.14)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain: ☐ NO ☐ N/A

1.3.24 Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers Evaluation (C.A.S.E.)	
SECTION 6 – INTERFACES ATTRIBUTE	
1.9 Fueling (Element 1.3.16)	☐ YES If no or N/A, explain
	□ No
	N/A
1.10 De-Icing Program (Element 1.3.18)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	No
1.11 Maintan and a Contiforate Dominan ante (Element 4.1.2)	□ N/A
1.11 Maintenance Certificate Requirements (Element 4.1.2)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	No
1.12 Maintenance Training Programs (Element 4.2.1)	N/A
1.12 Maintenance Training Programs (Element 4.2.1)	YES If no or N/A, explain NO
	NO N/A
1.13 Director of Maintenance (Element 7.1.1)	YES If no or N/A, explain
1.13 Elicolor of Hamienance (Element 7.1.1)	NO NO
	□ N/A
1.14 Chief Inspector (Element 7.1.2)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	□ N/A
1.15 Manual Currency (Element 2.1.1)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	□ No
	□ N/A
1.16 Content Consistency Across Manuals (Element 2.1.2)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	□ No
	□ N/A
1.17 Manual Distribution (Element 2.1.3)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	□ No
	U N/A
1.18 Manual Availability (Element 2.1.4)	YES If no or N/A, explain
	No
2. List any additional interfaces identified:	☐ N/A
2. List any additional interfaces identified.	
3. Are there written procedures for the use of air carrier personnel in	☐ YES If no, explain:
the application of these interfaces?	
4. Are there controls to ensure that interfaces occur?	☐ YES If no, explain:
	□ No
5. Are the interfaces between the Coordinating Agencies for Suppliers	YES If no, explain:
Evaluation (C.A.S.E.) process and other processes treated	□ No
consistently in the Manual(s)?	